

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED c/o Desmukh, Jay R.
600 College Road East
Suite 2100
Princeton, NJ 08540
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

16.08.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RLL-254WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/IB 03/01221 03.04.2003

03.04.2002

Applicant

RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED et al

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Janzing, M

Tel. +31 70 340-4140





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Annii	oont'o	01.00	ant's file reference						
1 ''	254	_	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
ı		al app 3/012	lication No. 221	International filing da 03.04.2003	ate <i>(day/mon</i>	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.04.2002		
1	nation		ent Classification (IPC) or	both national classificati	on and IPC	·			
Appli RAN		(Y LÆ	ABORATORIES LIMI	TED et al					
1.			national preliminary ex and is transmitted to th				ernational Preliminary Examining		
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.								
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion						
	Н		Priority						
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to	o novelty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability		
IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention									
	٧	\boxtimes		under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) tions supporting such		d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applical	bility;	
	VI		Certain documents ci	ted					
	VII		Certain defects in the	international applicat	ion				
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international a	pplication				
Date of submission of the demand					Date of	completion of th	nis report		
03.1	1.200)3			16.08.	2004			
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					ed Officer	.goghtuch**	Petenten,	
	(lin	NL-	ropean Patent Office - P.B 2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays I	Bas	Muller	, S	3.	o))) 📜	
	اري		. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3 : +31 70 340 - 3016	l 651 epo nl	- 1	ne No. +31 70 3	340-2080		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/01221

Ras	sis	of	the	repor	t

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages	
	1-9		as originally filed
	Cla	ims, Numbers	
	1-3	7	as originally filed
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the langu guage in which the in	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).
3.	With inte	h regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
		(Any replacement streport.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations, i	if necessary:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/01221

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicabi	II. No	n-establishment of o	pinion with r	egard to novelty	, inventive ste	p and industrial	applicabili
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	----------------------	---------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------

 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be an obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 									
☐ the entire international applica				ation,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 28-34, with respect to industrial applicability							
		because:							
	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 28-34, with respect to industrial applicable to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (sp.							
		see separate sheet							
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):									
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	s. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion				
	☒	no international search report applicability	has b	een establish	ned for the said claims Nos. 28-34, with respect to industrial				
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleor amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrations:									
		the written form has not been	furnisl	ned or does i	not comply with the Standard.				
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.				
٧.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	cle 35(orting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent				
1.	Stat	ement							
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9,10,16,18,19 1-8,11-15,17,20-34				
	Inve	Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-34				
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-27				
2.	Cita	tions and explanations							
	see	separate sheet			·				

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 28-34 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

For the assessment of the present claims 28-34 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Cited Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0217885

D2: WO-A-9856357

D3: EP-A-635261

D4: Chemical abstract of JP-A-2003104912 (XP002255954)

2. Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

The document D1 discloses (see example 2 on pages 11 and 12) a tablet made by compression of granules comprising clarithromycin (1000mg) and sodium alginate

International application No. PCT/IB03/01221

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

(50mg). The tablet may be coated and the size of clarithromycin may be reduced by conventional techniques. The subject-matter of claims 1-8,11,14,15,17,22-33 is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The document D2 discloses (see example 1 on pages 7 and 8) a controlled release tablet made by compression of granules comprising clarithromycin (500mg) and sodium alginate (80, 120 or 180mg). Clarithromycin may be formulated in combination with some other drugs such as omeprazole or lansoprazole. The preparation may be coated. The subject-matter of claims 1-8,11-15,17,20-34 is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The document D3 discloses (see example 1 on page 5 and page 7, lines 17,18) Capsules incorporating erythromycin (500mg) and sodium alginate (100mg) and covered by DEAE-Dextran. The subject-matter of claims 1,3-5,7,8,11,14,15,17,22,28,30-32 is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

3. Inventive Step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

Claims 1-34 are not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT) since their subject-matter is either not new or concerns mere formulation optimisations that the expert in the field would undertake without the involvment of inventive skills.

4. Industrial applicability (Art. 34(4)(a)(I) PCT)

Claims 1-28 satisfy the criterion of industrial applicability set forth in Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT.